

**STATEMENT OF SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW**

Please review and enter the following remarks summarizing the telephonic interview conducted on January 15, 2009:

During the interview, the claimed invention was discussed with respect to the prior art of record. Specifically, the subject matter of claim 1 and 37 was discussed with respect to the applied references of Polizzi et al. (U.S. Publication No. 2002/0023158; hereinafter “Polizzi”), Albert et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,970,913; hereinafter “Albert”), DeBettencourt et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,279,001; hereinafter “DeBettencourt”), and Phaal (U.S. Patent No. 6,138,159; hereinafter “Phaal”).

Applicant argued that the proposed modification of Polizzi, as alleged by the Examiner would defeat the purpose of Polizzi, and not allow the system of Polizzi to work as intended.

No official agreement was reached, however the Examiner indicated agreement with Applicant’s position and requested an official response to the Office Action be filed along the lines of the discussion during the interview.

It is respectfully submitted that the instant STATEMENT OF SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW complies with the requirements of 37 C.F.R. §§1.2 and 1.133 and MPEP §713.04.